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**Addenda** from the years 1956 - 1975

The following text was psychically received by Medialen Friedenskreis Berlin, a Christian/Spiritual community, psychically between the years of 1956 to 1975. These transmissions are a part of the *Menetekel,* a collection of 4,500 A4 pages of freshly catalogued protocols, amassed over 20 years. All of these mediumistic messages were left in their original form, even if similar questions were repeated. The work of the two writing mediums, *Monika-Manuela Speer* and *Uwe Speer* began when they were 15 and respectively 17 years old. The total production of the MFK-Berlin (Medialer Friedenskreis Berlin), the Menetekel and the 21 transmissions from the space-brothers are considered the absolute pinnacle of psycho-scientific research. Other spiritual circles have often tried to copy the work of the MFK, but its quality was never achieved. The reproduction and distribution of these rearranged messages and protocols is *explicitly encouraged* and is not covered by any copyright.

**Preface** (From the SPHERES of LIGHT)

“*Perfect* LOVE takes your fears away. KNOWLEDGE disperses fear, because fear arises *from* *ignorance*. Where LOVE, trust and knowledge reign, fear cannot exist.

Only once you enter our WORLD will you correctly understand what LOVE is, because the nature of LOVE is a *part of the spirit*. LOVE is the POWER that *binds spirit and soul together*. LOVE is one of the CREATOR’S forms of expression. LOVE is the desire to make *every* required sacrifice to help one’s fellow man. LOVE is more powerful that illnesses, pain and suffering. A LOVING person does not seek anything for himself.

LOVE born from LIGHT.

Emancipation, born from dependence.

Are times difficult? – Well, you are here to *improve* things!”

Bad Salzuflen, November 2012

**Questions and answers**

*Years 1956 – 1958 (incomplete)*

Question : The wise of the past have *emphasised* the meaning of LOVE as the starting point for all insights and actions. But the mind is *overvalued* these days and the development of LOVE did not keep pace with the advancements of the mind. LOVE for GOD *and* all human beings, as well as an admiration for divine creation, should actually be the primary reason to encourage people to gain greater knowledge and higher insights and not a selfish craving for power.

ARGUN : GOD IS LOVE. This is why every soul should allow itself *to be guided* by the LOVE of the UNIVERSE.

Question : Can you tell us more about this “LOVE of the UNIVERSE”?

ARGUN : What I was trying to say is that *every* intelligent creature throughout the universe should allow its thoughts and actions to be guided by divine LOVE. Yes, true LOVE is *sacred* it is *divine* and it will always be fruitful.

Question : People however do have free will. It represents GOD’S greatest gift. What does free will look like in a marriage?

ARGUN : A marriage is *never* just one’s fate. Marriage is always determined by free will. But the getting together of those that will eventually marry *can* be based on karma, it is actually karma *most of the time*.

Comment: The marriage partners make karma effectual after this.

Question : Does it happen that a marriage is foiled because the realm of the spirit does not desire it in the first place?

ARGUN : If the realm of the spirit does not welcome it, it will be dissolved, but without a lot of bickering and conflict, without the use of force and cussedness; because *these* are the signs that *base* POWERS are interfering.

Comment: Negative otherworldly entities are engaged in bringing about a separation.

Question : If a married couple fights like cats and dogs all the time, will both partners meet up again in their next life in order to atone for the mistakes they have made?

ARGUN : It happens at times, particularly if *both* sides are to blame.

Question : We read about a “marriage with a spirit”!

ARGUN : It is possible for a human being, one who retains the *same strong* metal attachment to its marriage partner after “death” and when this attachment is based on *mutuality*, to entertain *direct contact* with the physical soul in the here and now. But not quite the way it is described in this particular article. There is a lot of fantasy and imagination in it.

Question : Are humility and LOVE the highest?

ARGUN : This is too great a battle. LOVE is the foundation for everything that is expected to take root; this LOVE has however turned into a rare commodity. Envy can presently hardly be taken out of the equation. We endeavour as hard as we can to teach the LORD’S word in order to give LOVE its rightful place here on Earth once again.

*February 1960*

Question : What do you call adultery?

AREDOS : It is interpreted as a *trespass against LOVE*. A wedding in front of a registrar or a priest is not necessarily a marriage in the sight of GOD by a long shot. There are so-called “illegal marriages”, “concubinages” as it were that are what GOD calls *genuine* marriages, because the trust and LOVE in them are of a divine nature.

* Those that love their partner with all their heart, with or without legal consent, should *not abuse* this LOVE, but be content that they have an opportunity to redeem their karma with their LOVE.

All cohabitation *without* the purest LOVE is indecent and deplorable. Those that believe that they can love *without* a solid love for GOD are *mistaken*. These people will never be content and they will roam around and search until they fall prey to demonic perversions. – Divine LOVE unites and satisfies at the same time. Such cases are also rewarded with eminent incarnations. Those that truly love GOD do not sin, they also remain faithful to their partner.

Question : How do you assess the people that cannot marry due to economic or other compelling reasons?

ARGUN : LOVE in the realm of the spirit is only of a *platonic* nature. We have a lot of understanding for the difficulties you are faced with on Earth. All true LOVE is highly respected by us. Marriage has its pertinence *only here on Earth*. *No* legal marriage ceremonies are performed in the hereafter. GOD’S LAWS reign here. There is no need for marriages in the hereafter at all.

* Those that feel drawn towards one another may remain together if their karma is the same. If one of them is burdened with more karma than the other, the LOVE of other can voluntarily share this karma; this will ease the severity of the greater of the two karmas.
* A soul is however reincarnated or accommodated in the HIGHEST SPHERES if it wants to enter the darkness to be with the other soul; GOD does not allow such a LOVE SACRIFICE to take place.

Question : Can karma be shared, respectively be co-accepted *on Earth*?

ARGUN : All of this is naturally in GOD’S hands. But somebody else’s karma can also be co-accepted out of pure LOVE.

*February 1961*

Question : How does the realm of the spirit judge LOVE where there is a fair difference in age involved?

ARGUN : We always assess LOVE from a *spiritual* point of view. Age does not play a role, because it is up to the individual. True LOVE is solely pertinent here. Age is *unimportant* in this respect. Other points of view are pertinent in regards to the economic situation and in regards to a life together.

Question : A lot of parents disown their children because they produced an illegitimate child. How does the realm of the spirit assess this?

ARGUN : The parents do not just sin against the children, but they also offend GOD. They burden themselves with a *great debt*. The repercussions are not always karmic, but every account has to be squared. As this debt is very large, the presented bill of fare is equally so.

*July 1962*

Question : How does the realm of the spirit judge prostitution?

ARGUN : It *offends GOD*. But due to the fact that these people are *absolutely ignorant¸* some of it can be forgiven. Prostitution is generally speaking a dirty business.

* These people *destroy* the purity of their soul. They have no feelings for the sacredness of LOVE.

*August 1962*

Question : One is allowed to be married to a *number* of women simultaneously in some countries. What does the realm of the spirit have to say about this?

ARGUN : It is first and foremost important to find the *right* partner. This is however not that easy.

* The realm of the spirit holds the opinion that there is only *one* right partner. Everything else is debauchery.

The idea of *polygamy* is based on an addiction to pleasure most of the time and this *without* there being a spiritual connection in place. Most of the time the true nature of the soul is revealed only after many years. Friendship is however the primary prerequisite for a good marriage, because it may not just be based on tolerance.

Question : Is it usually a case where one expects more from one’s partner than he or she can give?

ARGUN : Yes, *therein lays the mistake*. One overrates one’s partner and one then tries to modify him or her. The fact that this destroys the partner is unfortunately overlooked. He or she is then no longer their actual, original self.

* The correct thing to do is to *fully respect* one another and to adapt – but not to coerce or to subjugate. A marriage can only be truly happy if it is based on this.

One must endeavour *to understand* the opinion of the other and one should certainly not make fun of him or her. One should never lose control and one should always maintain deportment. One can however only expect this from *one* partner and *not* from a number of them.

* The spiritual side is often neglected and this puts *eroticism to the forefront*, because partners can then not spiritually complement one another. Such a marriage is akin to a battleground.

This dual togetherness must *mainly harmonise mentally* and *spiritually*; because it is supposed to last a lifetime. Most of the time the bond is only established through the children, there would otherwise only be an emptiness for some.

*September 1963*

Question : Can you please tell us something about women’s standing on *other* planets?

ARGUN : Women on other planets do *not* have equal rights. They are however treated *with respect* and they are seen as the female aspect that requires help. This is the great difference between the other planets and this Earth. Women here on Earth are either *nothing* or the *equal* of men. Women here on Earth had to perform a lot of hard physical work and this made them forfeit some of their natural femininity. The emancipation they strive for makes them *lose* even more of men’s respect, because they are no longer considered *feminine*. When it comes to new legislation, propaganda always has a major influence. A lot of terrestrial grievances are due to a huge propaganda drive.

Question : Does women’s equality of rights have an influence on the problems youth cause?

ARGUN : No, not as much as you might assume. One should *respect* women’s intelligence and listen to what they say, but one should waive the assistance of their muscle power. Women’s intelligence has developed very well. They therefore renounce their place in the kitchen and show more interest in their mental standing. They can certainly be allocated an intelligent place in society. They will also achieve this goal.

*May 1964*

Question : Was a bond between two people already there when two people meet and instantly fall in love?

ELIAS : Yes, that is correct. Most of them know one another from a past life, that is to say, they loved each other a priory. All they lack is the memory of it.

Question : Have all human beings received their spiritual advanced development so that they now require the *right* partner in order to develop further?

ELIAS : Human beings do not require a partner for this. They are *individually* in possession of all the required tools. Symbolically speaking, all of them received a “toolbox” as it were so that they can “construct” their own spiritual development. Some have more tools than others. But a lot of people can do more with *one* tool than some who have a “toolbox” full of the best “tools” available.

*September 1966*

Question : How do you assess women’s rights the way they are handled these days?

AREDOS : Seen from a higher vantage point there should be no such thing as equality of tights between man and woman. Equality of rights should not only apply between the genders, but also apply to *all* human beings no matter what colour, confession or what country they come from.

* There should however be reciprocal *respect* and *appreciation* between men and women.

As things are today, a dangerous *fight for supremacy* ensues. Women turn into rivals instead of being an *extension*. If women emulate men by preforming feats that are really the domain of men, they are *not* an extension, but a rival. The same applies to men, they should *not* perform the duties of women, unless there is a case of need.

Truly *female* services are unfortunately *not fully appreciated*. This already applies to their duties within the household. Women who perform the duties of a housewife are unfortunately *discriminated against* to a degree where they actually become *ashamed* of their eminent assignment.

This *conceitedness* has unfortunately not been put to rest with you. It represents a *nucleus for dissentions* that leads to dangerous strains within a marriage. The reaction is still the same when a woman for instance mentions that she was managing a household, she might as well admit that she was an inmate in a penitentiary. Concerning this, I say the following to you:

* When human beings are placed on a *lower* level through an incarnation and when they achieve a *higher* level due to assiduous work, they will not have lived that life *in vain*.
* But when their incarnation places them on a *higher* level and they *fall back* or simply *remain stationary*, they will have *missed* their life’s task.

This has nothing to do with making money! It depends on gaining *insights* and on one’s *behaviourism*.

* Even the *most menial* tasks within human society must be acknowledged as a service to mankind. Every human being is a little wheel within creation.

ELIAS : Women had to perform men’s work during wars and they proved equal to the task. This made these women strive for recognition. But this recognition turned into a case of *equality of rights* for women, making them *rivals* of men and making them strive to *surpass* the things men do. This removes women from their natural environment and assignments. This is a completely wrong development. In addition to this comes the fact that wives are economically, that is to say, personally treated with restrain. But this is another story.

* Housework is *also* worth its weight in gold!

Women’s equality of rights has nothing to do with how they look. They should however show through the way they dress that they do not intent to hide the difference between men and women. *Male behaviourism* can produce *hormonal disturbances* in women and they can have dire consequences.

*December 1966*

Question : Is one going to spiritually procreate in the New Age, the way it was handled millions of years ago here on Earth?

AREDOS : How could terrestrial man possibly know what happened millions of years ago? – Spiritual procreation on Earth *did not exist*. It is pure fantasy!

*February 1967*

Question : What is the realm of the spirit’s attitude towards contraception *before* and *during* a marriage?

ELIAS : We are *categorically not against* contraception. It does not constitute murder! But the situation looks entirely different once life *has begun to develop*. The Churches’ considerations are not always pertinent. A lot of things look different from a logical perspective.

Question : It would therefore not be illogical to generally introduce birth control?

ELIAS : It would actually be *very* appropriate! A good means to achieve this has already been invented in it will soon be marketed worldwide. The time for conception for extra-terrestrials is *limited to a few months*. As the people on Earth are able to conceive all year round, the number of birth is quite large, particularly with the coloured races. These people to not believe in restrain, they are uncontrolled. People’s nature here on this Earth has pursued a different direction.

Question : The Catholic Church calls sexual intercourse *before* marriage a “deadly sin”. What do you make of this opinion?

ELIAS : We – ergo I mean the HIGHER SPHERE of the realm of the spirit – do not render *a puritanical judgment* on anyone’s love life. One might be dealing with the commitment of a sin in exceptional cases, but we must stick to the logical facts. Prudery *also* hems progress. I believe that you understand what I am trying to say. The *circumstances* are always the determining factor.

Procreation under the *influence of drugs* is however a *great sin* and *smoking* is also a part of this, because poisons are poisons – and every poison has a specific effect on progenies. We are however tolerant enough to overlook a lot of things people do, but we are *against extremisms*. It is a matter of degree and this also applies to sexual matters. People should not allow their base instincts to govern their actions. If people allow this to happen they do so based on their *free will*. They must however be aware that they will be punished one day for their evil free will behaviour.

*December 1968*

Question : The world does not understand *Jackie Kennedy*. Her marrying *Onassis* has cause a considerable furore. How does the realm of the spirit assess marriages between people of such an age difference?

ELIAS : This s a *question of the heart*. The realm of the spirit does not poke its nose in such things. Those kind of decisions are purely the prerogative of both partners in marriage.

Question : The Catholic Church expelled *Jackie Kennedy* from the Church be asserting that *Jackie Kennedy* lives in sin from now on. What do you say to this?

ELIAS : The Catholic Church *itself* lives in sin! The pomp and ceremony performed by the Vatican and the Pope are already a sin.

Question : The world got into a fluster over this marriage. Was there a reason for all this excitement?

ELIAS : This was always intended, namely that the world should get into a fluster over this. *Jacqueline* adopted an indifferent attitude after the murder of her husband.

* But when talk turns to sinning, all I can say is that the *suppression of the truth* is an *even worse sin*.

*August 1969*

**Love is dangerous**

Well, the greatest POWER human beings can encounter is LOVE.

*Its origin is divine.*

LOVE can make you unutterably happy; it can produce undreamed of ENERGIES – but it can also produce terrible suffering, it can even drive you insane. There are therefore two loves, *happy* LOVE and *miserable* LOVE. Your next question will surely be: What influence do people have over LOVE in respect to its happy or miserable effect? This is not a simple question and it is quite difficult to answer. It is however a mistake to assume that LOVE is one’s fate.

* People are able *to configure* their LOVE in *such a way* that it will *not* adversely affect them.

This fact gets in the way of Church dogmas to a high degree. The Churches have only a very narrow view of the concept of LOVE. The truth looks entirely different. People must make a very decisive decision to begin with, namely *which* CAMP do they want to be in. The DIVINE CAMP is *always positive*. But if the DIVINE CAMP is *abandoned* only one CAMP remains, namely the regions that belong to the ANTAGONIST – and he does whatever he wants with LOVE. This is why CHRIST said fair and square: “Those that are not with me are against me.”

* The moment LOVE registers *rights of ownership* or *demands,* it enters the *negative* CAMP.
* *Positive* LOVE is *prepared to make all possible sacrifices* and it is prepared to wait for its reciprocation. Even if it is not reciprocated, its positive behaviour will hardly change. Positive LOVE is happy when it can communicate itself.
* The moment LOVE wants to exert *coercion* on others, it turns negative. If it is egotistical, it adopts the characteristics of the ANTAGONIST.
* Erotic or sex are not genuine characteristics if LOVE, they are part of the urge to reproduce.

This is a reason that can drive people to commit suicide, because they devote their time to LOVE to such a degree that they suffer the kind of agonies the ANTAGONIST and his ORGANIZATION find a *joy* to observe. Those that know, those that are conversant with these things, clearly know what to choose. CHRIST hence said: *“If thy right eye offends thee, pluck it out and cast it from thee.”* The separation between a *positive* and a *negative* LOVE is surely the best solution when no dogmas or physical connections are against it.

Positive LOVE naturally uses these urges to reproduce. This is absolutely natural, because we are dealing with procreation here. But the erotic side may *not turn negative*, it will otherwise turn demonic.

* A negative LOVE is *very dangerous*, well absolutely life-threatening and leads to complete subjectivity.

As LOVE is an absolutely divine sensation, the ANTAGONIST made this subject matter his own. If he is given an opportunity he will abuse this LOVE to the utmost. Only dumb and backward people will laugh about this truth. Those that are incapable of *loving a community*, those that *isolate* or *renounce* themselves will never successfully develop a *positive* LOVE and they will deprive themselves of the greatest sense of pleasure GOD bestowed on us. True LOVE makes no great demands. *It is content within itself.* Discontented people can therefore never truly love.

**Questions and answers**

*September 1969*

Question : Mankind’s social structures are undergoing a constant process of change and this in all nations. Without going into the reasons for this development one can ascertain that the position of women within the societies of the occidental regions has completely *changed*. Women’s “elemental” desires have by all means remained intact, even if they are of various intensities. Even though women have to show “what they are made of” in all walks of life and even though the pressure they are under is often incomparably greater than that of men, they will over again be put in their place – and this even by relatively intelligent men. They quote the passage from the Bible that states that women should “love, cherish and *obey*”. What can you tell us about this?

AREDOS : This theme is very current. In their fight for equality women have *forfeited* their *actual* assignation and thereby also their femininity. This is very apparent, because women are now forced to push their sex into prominence.

The Bible states that women should be men’s assistants and *not* their competitors. The word *obey* is meant to indicate that the man should adopt the leading role within the family. This does however *not* mean that women have to play the role of slaves! Taking on the leading role is naturally connected to *higher responsibilities*.

Industry is to blame that women and girls are kept from fulfilling their assignation. Their *competitiveness* has changed their character. They want to be something that completely *contradicts* their nature. Every step they take towards becoming more like men makes them lose their esteem as female beings. This represents a great danger for them. Men *lose respect for women* and no longer see them as their assistants, but as *enemies* in lots of respects.

* Emancipation makes women forfeit their protection. *Men no longer see themselves as their protectors*. Their love relationship goes to pieces.
* Co-operation, *mutual interests* and concentration on one’s natural idiosyncrasies are the required prerequisites for cohabitation.
* *Mutual respect* is the foundation for equal rights.
* For women to strive *to be something they were not born to be,* is *unnatural*.
* Women are the *bearers of life* and they are *responsible for bringing up* their children – but this is where things are already amiss.

The realm of the spirit wants to see women to once again be *women* and not *androgynists* that have a repulsive effect on others. Industries however have no regards in this respect, they abuse women in any way they can.

*March 1970*

Question : What does the realm of the spirit think about the institution called marriage? “Modern” people are challenged to join “group marriages”.

AREDOS : This question is relatively easy to answer: The two genders are designated *to find one another*. This process can also be observed in Mother Nature. A group marriage could never be called a marriage; it is simply the sexual cohabitation of likeminded people.

Marriage is *more* than simply living together, it demands *application* and *responsibilities*. Both parties in a *genuine* marriage learn from one another and they are not tied together through legalities or their cohabitation, but *united through LOVE.* But as LOVE *counts for nothing anymore*, it is *completely eliminated* within a group community. *Base instincts* take its place and they can degenerate into sexual aberrations. I feel that storks for instance, have a more *elevated* interpretation of what marriage is all about. There are lots of similar examples.

* A “group marriage” is a derailment, the NEGATIVE SIDE *welcomes* it, because it demoralises human beings.

*August 1970*

Question : What does the realm of the spirit think about the emancipation of women?

ARGUN : GOD did *not* create women to be *slaves* for man, but women have unfortunately been *turned* into slaves often enough. GOD wants women to be *on an equal footing* with men, ergo a companion and a friend. According to divine LAWS, women are supposed to be *helpers*. They should however *not* turn themselves into man’s competitors. Men believed that they were created to be their slaves and this is why they took their freedom away.

Times of war made women *stronger*. Evidence of their endurance and intelligence raised their standing. Women do however have *terrible leaders* who tend to drag their hard fought standing back down again. They deal with things that should be of no concern to them. It is also completely awry for women to *copy* men, be it in the way they *dress* or the way they *behave*. Women should a priory be a *governess to their children* and *look after* the family.

*March 1971*

Question : Will the two partners get together again if a happy marriage is separated?

ARGUN : If we are really dealing with a *genuinely* happy marriage based on LOVE and it is separated, the two parties will *certainly* get together again, because they were surely not bad people.

* GOD put LAWS into place that will guide them back together, until one of them spiritually falls by the wayside.

It is up to each human being, that is to say, each individual soul, to decide whether they *want to be* happy or unhappy. A soul that strives UPWARDS will be *forever pleased* that it did so.

*April 1971*

Question : Is it right for women to act as *pedagogues*?

ARGUN : To explain to mankind that most women have chosen the *wrong* occupation is very difficult. I don’t mean to say that they do not do the best they can in their chosen occupation. It would however be far more natural and beneficial for women to actually *perform the role of a pedagogue within the family*.

* Children are often send to school *completely* *ill-bred* and *not used to deal with order*. One does however demand from teaching staff to teach them at school what the parents omitted to teach them at home.

Schools are *not* there torear children, but to *convey knowledge*. But how can teachers convey knowledge when misbehaviour interferes with all their endeavours? – Children do show female teachers respect, but they simultaneously lose their eminent respect for women as mothers; women will however not give up their jobs voluntarily.

Question : Are women overtaxed as pedagogues?

ARGUN : This profession is regarded as one of the most difficult. This is the reason why this profession is not *suitable* for women, because they can suffer damage to nerves and mind. Women’s functions are in different areas. Women as pedagogues are seen as officials in the eyes of students, ergo as “part of the inventory”. The main role of women actually consists in the support of children’s actual upbringing. They are suitable for professions like *nurses, foster-mothers, nursery schoolteachers* and particularly in *assisting professions* and above everything else as *beauticians* and *interior decorators*.

Question : How does the realm of the spirit assess women working in the justice system?

ARGUN : Women should, according to our opinion, *not* be engaged in the penal system as judges or prosecutors*­*, but only as *defence lawyers on behalf of the accused*. Based on their feelings, women have a greater understanding for the situation the accused are in. Women as defenders feel like mothers feel, because the accused could for instance be someone like their son or their daughter.

*January 1972*

Question : Why is it that men have seemingly always surpassed the endeavours of women since time immemorial?

Answer : Because women have been very foolish up to now.

Question : What is required to bring about a change to their attitude?

Answer : There is no need to change anything, because women have controlled men and got what they wanted since time immemorial. It is important to realise that one is not superior to the other, but that one *complements* the other.

There is still lots to learn. Do not believe for a moment that you already know everything you require for your further development. But you are at least capable of facing the world and of defending yourselves with the weapons of the spirit and knowledge. You already feel that you are on the right track.

We are very happy about the fact that our readers have followed our indoctrination with such interest –and that many of them have changed for the better. The success of our mission cannot be denied and it can also no longer be arrested. We thank all our friends here on Earth and we wish them all GOD’S BLESSING for the coming year.

To work in this fashion is not easy. There are unfortunately a lot of people about whose hearts are filled with stones und whose minds are *twisted* to a degree at times where they *avoid* the LOVE of their nearest and dearest or even *abuse* this LOVE. You however prepare the way for those that we would also like to reach.

Most people would like to be rich, but they forget that affluence and riches can be very dangerous in respect to one’s spiritual progress. The affluent who are offered this spiritual knowledge and who are prepared to believe in it, do however *not* change their *avaricious* ways and continue to *disrespect* the work of those that are less well off. They talk about their new found insights, swear allegiance to GOD, call us their best friends and still do not know that they can *never* reach a HIGHER SPHERE *the way they act*. The great TEACHER, namely CHRIST knew this when he said: “It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of heaven.”

Comment: This has also been our experience, namely that we are *supported by those that are less well off*, even though we send our *Menetekel* brochures to well-off readers too. Nothing has therefore changed in this respect for over 2,000 years! The Churches threaten with their purgatory – our SPIRIT TEACHERS do not do this.

*May 1973*

Question : A female member of parliament spoke on behalf of the *termination of pregnancies*. She thought that this would support women’s endeavours for emancipation. Do you hold the same opinion?

ELIAS : Emancipation that *legally* *equates* women to men makes sense. But an emancipation that strives to *emulate*, well actually surpass men, is *not* worth striving for. This condition would be contrary to nature, because it means a physical and psychic change to women. This would mean that women would no longer be real women – but also not men either.

It is men’s nature to be more *inventive* and *more imaginative*. Besides, men are *more practical* and possess this *impulse to explore* most women lack. This becomes apparent through technological accomplishments right up to space travel. If women were in charge here, this type of development would not have progressed this far and space travel would still be in the future.

Question : GOD endowed the genders with the things they require to fulfil their assignments. One now wants to change this. What do you say to that?

ELIAS : Men are to blame for the deviation women took from *their inherent nature*, because the enslavement of women by men led to a pathological endeavour by women for emancipation. But now, after one already conceded various right to them, they want to take revenge and prove that they are, at least they believe that they are, *competitive*. We are dealing with a *subconscious* act of revenge. It is however quite natural for men to retain the upper hand, but this means that they have to bear *full responsibility* for the family!

Question : Can you tell us what consequences women can expect because of their behaviourism?

ELIAS : The result of women gaining international emancipation could bring about a situation where they will try to govern the world *like amazons*. This would however thrust men into the defensive, they would become *effeminate*. The signs of such effeminateness are already there. This would however mean that men *lose* interest in exploring Mother Nature.

I do not believe that women can correctly configure the world. A single nation run by amazons could not assert itself, because women are physically not as strong as men and Mother Nature did not endow them with a strong inventive spirit. They also lack the ambition to undertake major endeavours. This would eventually lead to stagnation. Disintegration could then no longer be arrested once this point is reached. A *nation run by amazons* is doomed to fail right from the start.

Question : Is there a danger that women will change due to the escalation of demands for emancipation?

ELIAS : This is absolutely the case. *Hormonal disorders* naturally appear. Physicians have already recognised such disorders and they try to get them under control.

* It will not take very long for hormonal changes to take place once women begin *to think* like men. This sort of happens *psychosomatically*. Their emotional make-up therefore also changes. This would be nothing short of being catastrophic. This will lead to cellular changes down the track.

Such major changes can naturally also increase their susceptibility to cancers. Such changes, brought about by false behaviourism, can also become hereditary. Generations will then be born that GOD *never* planned for.

Comment: The answers from the realm of the spirit are often like a “Menetekel” (The writing on the wall) for us.

Question : How do things turn out when extremely emancipated women arrive in the realm of the spirit?

ELIAS : If the psyche of these women has changed to a high degree, they might not exactly know where they fit in when they arrive in the hereafter after their demise.

* Whether a soul’s polarity is male or female is very important in the realm of the spirit, because *its apportioned assignments are guided by this*. We are dealing with a false programming of the psyche in this case.

Question : What happens to these souls in the hereafter?

ELIAS : Souls that cannot deal with this new situation are *reincarnated as soon as possible*.

Question : What can be improved by an immediate incarnation?

ELIAS : Whether male or female and without a past memory, the psyche is *“neutralised”* through the incarnation so that no false behaviourisms are inherited. This is however not always successful. The danger that something might still be inherited is always there. This also applies to the male souls who became *effeminate*, even if it happened psychosomatically. The EGO of a human being is indestructible, but it can be *manipulated* for certain periods of time.

Question : The psyche of *drug addicts* is also changed, is this a similar process?

ELIAS : Naturally, but *a lot worse*, because they still suffer terribly in the hereafter. The fact that the Churches have not been able to enlighten people *correctly* is a crying shame. The Churches should really be aware of the LAW of HEAVEN, but this is *not* the case, because one did *not* concern oneself with the truth. The LAW of HEAVEN namely states:

Second demand:

* *“Respect your common law wife as the responsible bearer of the divine will, divine life and preparer of the way for a planned future. Her failure means destruction in a slow, but inevitable fashion.”*

Question : Have the sciences not yet recognised the momentousness of emancipation?

ELIAS : No, not to the full extent. When a woman desires *to be* *a man* because she is *not happy* with her femininity, the starting gun for biological changes will have been fired, because hormonal changes then take place. As the human body produces male and female hormones, it can happen that women produce *more male* hormones and change in the process. This is how the change of polarity runs its course.

The same also makes an appearance with men, when they are unhappy with their maleness and accordingly change, for instance by performing *child care* duties or *house work* that are actually the domain of women. This repolarisation of human beings is to be arrested through psychotherapeutic treatment.

It happens at times that an *oversupply* of the wrong hormones is already there at birth, this then becomes apparent through what’s called a *lady’s beard* for instance. But a much greater *decisive* *factor* is one’s *wishful thinking*. Wishful thinking can have a *greater* effect than people generally assume. Psychosomatic healings have however also taken place, but one paid little attention to them.

Question : What advice can the realm of the spirit give us so that we can slow down or completely prevent these types of approaching dangers?

ELIAS : This situation is not that bad; because if men defend their position by not allowing the sceptre to be taken from their hand, the situation will not be as dire as one might assume and will remain a temporary sign of the time. Men must however make sure that women do *not occupy leading positions within politics*, because men will otherwise completely lose their reputation, respectively their esteem. Men must however undergo *enormous changes* ad endeavour to achieve peace, because women’s main argument is: *Men are incapable of eliminating wars*. Therein lays the danger, namely that women will revolt.

Question : What is the cardinal mistake?

ELIAS : The mistake is *not* found with what women do, but solely what men do – generations back. We are dealing with a crass trespass against the LAW of HEAVEN, namely: *“Respect your life companion!”*

Objection : The change in women must also change the psyche of mothers; the children can therefore no longer love their mother correctly.

ELIAS : If their *upbringing is exemplary* and if they *feel* the LOVE a home provides, they will respect their parents. The situation becomes dangerous when they are exposed to other spheres of influence. These children will then allow themselves to be guided *by their peers*.

Question : Can the attitude of men who are disposed towards performing housework and when they find it appropriate to allow their wife to go to work instead in order to provide the means for living, also produce hormonal disturbances?

ELIAS : What generally happens is that men begin *to think* like women. But the men we are talking about here *take advantage of their women*; they are what’s called “the old school” and they have the undeserved good fortune to have found women that are prepared to allow themselves to be *taken advantage of* in this way. Hormonal disturbances are however *more common* with women than with men.

Question : It is apparent that people act *against* GOD’S LAWS in almost all of these cases. They do not believe that they have to account for their actions and therefore do whatever they please. How do you see this?

ELIAS : When people *know* that they bear responsibility for everything they think and do for eternity, respectively for numerous lives, and when *they* realise that they are the architects of their own good fortune and that thoughts and deeds have a boomerang effect, they will *then* fundamentally change. The law of power will then no longer reign and they will no longer fear their fellow men; they will only obey because they *fear themselves*.

Objection: The state prevents this insight from gaining acceptance.

ELIAS : Well, the state *condones* the abuse of nicotine and alcohol and it thereby promotes the ruin of families.

* The end -
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