PSYCHO-SCIENTIFIC FRONTIERS

Selected publications from a variety of subjects of psycho-scientific research. Editor: Rolf Linnemann (Certificated Engineer) * Steinweg 3b * 32108 Bad Salzuflen * Tel. (05222) 6558

Internet: http://www.psychowissenschaften.de
Translator's email: evak30@optusnet.com.au

Protocol extracts from the *Menetekel* from the years 1956 to 1975.

Theme : Church and doctrine

3. Addenda from the years 1970 to 1975

The following text to this theme was psychically received by the Medialen Friedenskreis Berlin (MFK), a Christian/Spiritual community, between 1956 and 1975. The transmissions form a part of the Menetekel, a collection of protocols of around 4,500 A4 sized pages amassed over 20 years and they have recently been restructured. All of these mediumistic messages were left in their original form, even if similar questions were repeated. The work of the two automatic writing mediums, Monika-Manuela Speer and Uwe Speer, began when they were 15 and respectively 17 years old. The total production of the MFK-Berlin (Medialer Friedenskreis Berlin), the Menetekel and the 21 transmissions from the space-brothers are considered the absolute pinnacle of psycho-scientific research. Other spiritual circles have often tried to copy the work of the MFK, but its quality was never achieved. The reproduction and distribution of these rearranged messages and protocols is explicitly encouraged and is not covered by any copyright.

Preface

With the help of para-psychology, theology would have been absolutely capable of *verifying* the immortality of the human soul.

Bad Salzuflen, June 2009

E-Mail: RoLi@psygrenz.de

The exploration of the spiritual realm (By Herbert Viktor Speer)

Communication with the world of spirit is certainly not new, it probably reaches back as far as when human beings first lived on this Earth. What is actually new about it is *how* this contact with the hereafter takes place. Para-psychology cannot exclude communication with the hereafter, on the contrary – it must deal with it intensively. Whilst curiosity took pride of place in the past, we find these days that serious, scientific research now comes to the fore. The spiritual successes are accordingly *a lot better* than in earlier times.

It might be a case where the Churches distanced themselves from *spiritualism* due to *extremely terrible results* and from then on denoted all contact with the hereafter a kind of idolatry, because they couldn't get beyond contacts with the *lower SPHERES*. Such childish efforts are hardly ever made these days. Outstanding contacts with the hereafter are entertained in numerous countries around the world, particularly *England* and *Japan* stand out. The results are so good that they can no longer be compared with the Bible, that is to say, they are *adapted* to present day conditions. It

is therefore quite incredible that the Churches, as well as the other major religions, have taken no notice whatsoever of this development. They adhere to an old judgment of theirs that has been superseded a long time ago.

• *Only private initiatives keep spiritualism alive these days.*

But it is actually *not* the assignment of private pundits and spiritists to intensively deal with this. The Churches are *better* financed for this and they also have the space and the reputation.

• These high-quality REVELATIONS do not belong in the hands of private pioneers, but in the pulpits of Churches. This would raise the whole level of mankind considerably.

Truly *excellent* contact with the hereafter demands considerable knowledge in all areas of life. One cannot expect the hereafter to furnish anything much, if the knowledge of the circle participants is only average or restricted. Those that ask *dumb* questions must expect *silly answers* in return. This is the reason why many circles' activities border on blasphemy without the participants being consciously aware of the damage they cause.

• We receive results from spiritual circles from all corners of the world and we are appalled about the nonsense that one regards as the truth and promotes as divine revelations.

It is regrettable that *spiritualism doesn't have a professorship* that gives the interested or mediums an opportunity to educate themselves. Practise requires an experience. One talks about occult things everywhere, about magic, mysticism, astrology, hypnosis and other special fields within occultism.

• Congresses are arranged where one talks and talks and talks. Individuals and fanatics make an appearance with their practises that do not really help anyone. But the real, precious spiritualism gets short changed at these congresses by so-called experts of enlightenment.

No surprise then that the path is extremely difficult when it is jealously and ignorantly barricaded by people from within one's own ranks. Research into the hereafter, accurately fitting within mankind's development these days, is an *orphan* that *lacks* love and welfare and can therefore not properly develop.

• Genuine spiritualists belong at the forefront of the public worldwide. This is the motto of the hour that stands above all existing church religions.

Questions and answers

February 1970

Question: The Christian Church talks about a "blood sacrifice" CHRIST took upon himself in order to deliver mankind. What do they mean by this?

ELIAS : This is a dogma they defended for centuries. The Church requires this dogma to promise people something good so that they remain faithful to the Church.

• CHRIST could never pay for a debt with his blood, particularly not for the sins of the future.

Murdering him was a *negative* act. Murder can *never* effect something good, particularly not when one is dealing with the deliverance of the whole race. I know that this answer is not palatable to church goers, but the truth may not be falsified.

• CHRIST delivers people through his archetype and his doctrines.

CHRIST was an *advocate*, because he loved *everybody*. His advocacy had the effect that many of good will were helped.

• Man's most dangerous weakness is hatred. Those that combat hatred cleanse their soul.

The Christian doctrine is a *doctrine of forgiveness*. But mankind is unfortunately still *far* from this Christendom. Church dogma *prevents* people's redemption. The Churches are however not prepared to do without these dogmas, because they require them to sell something.

• *The Churches' materialism will be the total ruin of the Churches.*

This fact is documented in one of the messages from *Fatima* that is still strictly guarded.

Question: What does the spiritual realm make of donations given to Churches?

ELIAS : It isn't about the sum of money, but about the *motive*.

• The Church does not have the right to promise heaven because they receive payment for this promise.

People can have acted wrongly all their life. They can have committed many sins in their ignorance. But the moment they reach a point in their life where they gain the insight that their life was a shamble — and the moment they turn their life around completely in order to live a god-orientated life, their past mistakes will not be taken fully into account.

• Regret only makes sense when this regret initiates a new start, respectively new behaviourism.

Question: Does a priest have the right to forgive someone's sins in the name of GOD or ask for penance?

ELIAS: No human being has that right! – Man cannot use GOD'S yardstick, especially if he isn't even aware of the LAW of KARMA. Knowledge of reincarnation is absolutely necessary, because without such insight, knowledge of karma is not possible. KARMA is a divine LAW nobody can change unless we're dealing with an advocacy from the divine HIERARCHY or a loving friend.

• For a priest – well actually for anybody else – to play the role of "DEAR GOD" is blasphemy.

Question: A psychic message was circulated in France that allegedly stems from "Our dear Lady". What do you say to this message that states the following?

"Common illnesses are getting worse and worse. These illnesses are followed by a great castigation through revolutions or wars. The anti-christians within the ranks of the clergy will destroy the Catholic religion. This is why my beloved son will punish the Earth, because faith and discipline are lacking. The world heads towards its end. Listen to this call. In the name of the most holy trinity."

AREDOS: We are dealing with a distinctive example of scare mongering. Such a message can *never* come from the HIGHER SPHERES. This is an *abuse* of the Mother Mary dogma. If this message is psychic, it stems from a Christian in the hereafter who has not yet discarded his hatred and exhibits no sign of redemption.

Question: How can we recognise such messages as genuine or apocryphal?

AREDOS: Angels and spirit entities that represent GOD do criticise the negative behaviourism of people, but: *They do not threaten!*

- Indoctrinations and admonitions come from the HIGHER SPHERES, but never the prophesied end of the world!
- The "most holy trinity" is also never mentioned. This is absolute church dogma!

Threats *only* come from people and what is even worse, people often turn their own threats into *reality*. The fact that this message contains a *massive* threat verifies that it stems from a living human being with a vivid imagination or has fallen prey to a *base* spirit. A whole series of such messages exist. All of these falsifications only harm *genuine* spiritualism. One should not admire such things, one should *condemn* them!

April 1970

Question: The Pope visited the USA some time ago and whilst there spoke out against all types of dictatorships. We cannot understand how he can talk like that as he acts like a dictator himself. He dictatorially promotes celibacy. What do you say to that?

ELIAS : The Pope could exert a completely different influence on the world *if willing to do so*. We also consider such a demand as wrong. We are also no dogmatists. GOD didn't create the two genders only for certain people and excluded Catholics priests. This is *religious, cultic nonsense* in our eyes.

Question: The Pope goes one step further in his dictatorial demands: He said that the Last Supper should *not* be regarded as symbolic. Is he correct?

ELIAS : The Last Supper is a *symbolic* act. CHRIST also broke bread *symbolically*. One cannot talk about a de facto act at all. When CHRIST talked about his "body" and his "blood" he meant that one should symbolically encourage oneself *to become like him*. He never gave cannibalism a thought when he said this. But this would be the case if the Pope was right. It is our mission to stand up against such nonsense.

• Dogmas do not represent the truth, they are only human assumptions unverifiable by anything.

June 1970

Question: Is there a hell, ergo a place of agonies?

AREDOS: Hell is *not directly a place*, but rather a *condition*. There are ugly SLUMS in the hereafter populated by very ungodly and evil souls. These souls did however already live in squalor on Earth and they felt comfortable in it. Even eminent personalities, highly respected, but *wearing a mask* that made them unrecognisable here on Earth, live in these SLUMS. They are however *unmasked* in the hereafter.

Question: Why did theology denote the Earth as the *only* planet where human beings live? Isn't this a bit presumptuous in view of the vastness of the universe?

AREDOS: Theology was then completely unaware of the vastness of creation. Theologians – as they still do these days – regarded themselves as being very clever. They declared all verifiable evidence furnished by technology and science the *work of demons and the devil*; this includes astronomy, astrology and telescopes, actually all instruments that deal with the stars.

Question: You said "as they still do these days". In what respect do theologians deny scientific insights these days?

AREDOS: There are for instance *mediumism*, the *psycho-sciences* and *spiritual revelations*. All of these important and extremely *positive* opportunities of INSIGHT EXTRACTION are still condemned as devilry and forbidden due the *incredible brutishness* and *traditional stubbornness* of theologians.

June 1970

The exploration of the spiritual realm (By Herbert Viktor Speer)

A religion should actually be there to *indoctrinate* people. But the general religions of this world do *not* provide *information* about the whereabouts of people after their demise. But *this* specific question is of enormous importance. Religions cannot give any accurate information about this, because they do *not have an informant*. This is where the enormous difference between general religions and spiritualism is revealed. Spiritualism *has* its INFORMANTS and they can be questioned.

When one has to travel to a foreign country one usually endeavours to find out what takes place there and what one can expect. There is nothing wrong with this, because one does not want to travel into the unknown unprepared. — The situation is completely different in regards to the spiritual realm. *All* human beings must go there whether they want to or not. But nobody shows a real interest in this question is spite of this.

Our spirit GUIDES told us that they are astonished how *indifferently* people on Earth are in regards to this spiritual EXISTENCE. They are neither interested in death nor in the survival of the soul. Our spirit GUIDE ELIAS once told us:

"Almost all people come to us completely ignorant. Due to their ignorance they end up in a JUNGLE wherein they find it difficult to find their way, because they do not have a compass. They are neither familiar with the RITES and WAYS nor the LAWS or FORMS. This is a terrible condition for an ignorant new-arrival, who will then be taken advantage of by useless CREATURES.

If one looks at this closely one has to reproach religious representatives the most.

How can one explain this lack of interest?

Most people have *no idea at all* about spiritualism. A large contingent of church goers is *warned* about spiritualism by their theologians. Conversations with theologians established that they *also have no idea* and that they only repeat what they have been *persuaded* to believe. Practical experiences are completely lacking. It therefore comes as no surprise that church goers gradually leave their church communities because they *stopped* believing in anything.

A well-known theologian in England said that *the blessing spiritualism would bring would be beyond comprehension if this doctrine was really true.* – He was correct, but he has unfortunately no *practical* experience, because he would otherwise *know* that this doctrine is *absolutely* true! He was probably also prohibited from gaining practical experience.

If at all possible, the sciences do not want to associate with religion. One is all too well aware that it is "a hot potato". All evidence in this direction is a rock thrown through science's window. This must not just be avoided, it must be fought against. We are dealing with the prestige of greatly respected sciences.

Influenced by these facts, spiritualists all over the world continue to explore and cherish psychoscientific insights.

We are continuously told that it is almost impossible to convince an acquaintance or friend of the truth. One may not make the mistake of fanatically pushing this very difficult to comprehend truth on someone. One must always *carefully* and *sensitively* attempt to rouse their interest in this first until the listeners asks questions of their own accord.

This mission is *more important* than all politics!

Questions and answers

August 1970

Question: How can Christians fight Christians in Ireland? Don't both sides call themselves "believers"? What do you say to that?

ELIAS : This shows you that religion is *not* able *to proclaim the truth*. These Christians are only Christians by name, because politics is more sacred to them. The government will naturally take more drastic measures. This is also part of the BATTLE of ARMAGEDDON. Fanaticism has always been very pronounced in Ireland. But all fanaticism makes you *blind* and *subjective*.

• This is evidence that there is something wrong with religion, because this contention would otherwise be impossible at all.

But CHRIST'S doctrines are *correct* just the same. There would be *more* understanding between one another, if one were to adhere to them.

• *Religion in connection with hatred and brutality ceases to be a religion.*

Question: Do you believe that these people pray faithfully?

ELIAS : Those that pray with hatred in their heart *blaspheme* GOD. A religion that tolerates such brutality in its name is *diabolical*.

Question: Catholics and Protestants call themselves Christians. How do these people behave when they enter the spiritual realm?

ELIAS : Their first reaction is always one of *complete bewilderment*.

October 1970

Question: The Churches would like to *force* their faith on people. This is particularly the case with the Catholic faith. What does the spiritual realm make of this?

AREDOS: Religion is a thing a human being does *voluntarily* for the cognisance and progress of its fellow men.

November 1970

Question: The Churches find themselves in a crisis. If they are seriously criticised they refer to their *immunity*, respectively their taboo and retaliate. When it is about their *dogmas*, they are even *prepared to lie*. What do you say to this?

ARGUN: The Churches must also be able to deal with criticism. The truth must for its own sake *allow* criticism.

Question: Someone on television asked a president of the Church to express his opinion about spiritualism. This president of the Church said:

"We are dealing here with idolatry; because the Church deals with GOD, whilst spiritualism deals with 'departed spirits'."

What does the spiritual realm have to say to this utterance of a parish counsellor?

ARGUN: We find this outrageous. The Churches have unfortunately *unqualified* representatives. No surprise then that one no longer takes the Churches serious. Those that do not take the Churches serious, also take GOD and his LAWS no longer serious. This is a great destructive danger. The more Church representatives *would* turn towards the truth, the more successful they would be. If a president of the Church however asserts that spiritualism is idolatry, he should no longer occupy his office.

In England, spiritualism is a religion that is *recognised by the state*. The spiritualistic movement in Brazil is also enormous. This would mean that, according to the words of the president of the Church, millions of people in England are addicted to idolatry.

This would also apply to other countries. This is so opprobrious that it isn't even worthwhile to discuss this further. This is however evidence for us that the NEGATIVE has already gained a solid foothold within the Churches. I do however prophesy that *spiritualism* will be the *religion of the future*.

January 1971

Question: There are a lot of people that believe every word priests and parsons say, even though it is often *far removed* from the truth. The Churches however do distance themselves from logic. How can one convince parsons, because they are after all more important than many a fellow citizen?

AREDOS: It makes no difference whether we are dealing with parsons or street sweepers. People must recognise and feel this *individually*. The Churches *force prejudices* upon people. Only once one has *freed* oneself from these prejudices can one get closer to the truth through *logical deliberations*.

Objection: I personally heard a protestant parson say:

"Those that believe in spiritism or spiritualism deserve a flogging and a bucket of water poured over their head."

AREDOS: This statement is so intolerant that it is beyond discussion. This parson only displayed the brutishness he usually hides behind his biblical proverbs. But one may not underestimate this case in spite of this: It is one example that *hinders* the truth.

Question: Church religion talks about "eternal damnation". Is there redemption from the DARKNESS in the hereafter?

AREDOS: Yes, opportunities for ascension do exist. But you cannot imagine how *difficult* and *slow* the process is. It is infinitely difficult to pull a soul from this DARKNESS.

Question: Is there a difference between instructions received in the hereafter and here on Earth?

AREDOS: Oh yes, I can underline this. This difference is *very* pronounced!

Question: Wherein lays this difference?

AREDOS: You do *not* have SPHERES here on Earth, you have class systems. There are *many* SPHERES in the spiritual realm, but *no* class distinctions. After its release from its physical body, the soul goes to an incremental SPHERE that is *adapted* to its development. The soul cannot leave such a SPHERE. It has magnetic boundaries that cannot be penetrated.

• Only a change of character by the soul can make a CHANGE of SPHERE possible.

This is why TEACHERS that could carry out their MISSION of REDEMPTION hardly ever enter the *dark* SPHERES. But nobody amongst the entrapped souls can expect enlightenment from amongst their own. They are *all the same*.

It is different here on Earth. Every human being has, practically speaking, the opportunity to orient itself and to be guided according to recommendations of improvement. With good will, ascension it therefore *easier*. If someone has reached a point where he or she must *not* go to the lower SPHERES, they will encounter *better* ENTITIES, ENTITIES they can learn from.

You see how important this MISSION of REDEMPTION really is. But you recognise at the same time what the Churches *really neglect* to do.

February 1971

Question: Does the concept of religion mean something else to you?

AREDOS: Yes, that's true. Religion doesn't just mean the veneration of a HIGHER POWER to us, it actually means *the foundation* of our human existence. Without knowledge of these forms of existence people cannot make correct decisions, because everything they think and do is influenced by it.

• A false religion naturally also leads to false results.

The Soviet Union's Dialectic Materialism for instance is one of the greatest aberrations to interfere with religion. This is where mankind follows the antichrist, a false prophet.

Only when people *know* the truth are they able to represent the truth. Whatever people *invented* or *profess to know* in this respect *doesn't count*. You are able to see the results day after day.

(Comment: We ask for voluntary support in every conceivable way, because only the truth will lead to world peace. Support the truth and you support GOD.)

Question: Are terrestrial religions, the way they exist these days, ruinous for mankind?

AREDOS: This is a very pertinent question. *All* religions naturally contain *fragments* that tie in with the truth. But the fragments of all these various religions should be *sifted through*, *joint together* and then disseminated as RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE. But a lot of stern arguments will take place before this will happen. Absolute knowledge is actually quite simple, it goes:

• Human beings survive death, but the body, made of physical matter dissolves again.

Question: When one attempts to talk about this one falls under suspicion of being an *old-fashioned* and *backward* human being, one that believes all kind of nonsense. This is the reason why those in the know remain silent, because they want to avoid any unpleasantness. Understandable or?

AREDOS: Those that doubt that this is how it is, have not formed their own opinion, but utilise the baneful counter arguments of atheistic or matter of fact people instead of their own deliberations, people who indeed fear the truth and who would like to avoid JUDGMENT through *self-deception*, because they are well aware that they side with

the antagonist.

• Do not listen to doubters, but advice all and sundry to gain their own conviction. Doors will open to those that knock; this has been so for thousands of years!

Only those that had the courage to knock on the door of the WORLD of SPIRIT were able to reach a *higher* spiritual level. JESUS also knocked on the door and it was opened for HIM. This is how *everyone* can get to know the truth.

Even when these seekers are lied to by the spiritual realm, which is indeed *their own* fault, they will in any case find out that there is a *viable* hereafter, one that is made up of many SPHERES. They will also realise that there is a hell – and if such a SPHERE exists, it logically follows that there is also a kind of heaven. There is however *no* church heaven, but a paradise that is a place of knowledge, harmony, peace and activity all rolled into one.

• This real heaven is a place of eternal service to universal mankind. Souls do not rest here, they do not sleep here either, this is where they learn, discuss, plan, design and make every attempt to guide mankind or individual people towards a level that is justly called HUMAN BEING. – You can see how diverse our religion is from yours.

Question: It is said that religion demands *abstract* thought processes. Is this the reason why a lot of people balk at it?

AREDOS: The supernatural – as you call it – is *certainly not* abstract; it is as *real* and as *concrete* as the physical plane.

• There is nothing more concrete throughout the universe than GOD'S existence.

If one were to compare the here and now and the hereafter with a television set, one would have to say that it's like changing from one channel to another channel that works under the self same principle. This is how every human being moves from one world to the OTHER *without* their consciousness experiencing an adverse effect.

• Whatever we experience in the hereafter is absolutely real to us. But when we look at your world from our perspective we find that it doesn't seem all that real to us. It is kind of transparent to us.

May 1971

Question: The Churches dictate the epitaphs on gravestones. All of these texts refer to "eternal rest". Is this not a confidence trick played on people?

AREDOS: Absolutely! There is no such thing as "eternal rest" in the hereafter, but there is plenty of discontent and disharmony. Contentment and harmony spring from assiduous activity. Discontentment however springs from slothfulness.

January 1972

Question: Is there a conspicuous difference between church religion and spiritual knowledge?

Answer : The overwhelming difference consists in that with spiritual knowledge you *know* that you are *never* alone for one minute. You therefore know that the WARMTH, LOVE and COMRADESHIP of the spirit and its FRIENDSHIP accompany you everywhere you go. You possess the important knowledge that you are guided by an enormous FORCE if you try just a little to do good and positive things. You possess a feeling that friendly and helpful BEINGS are at your side that have a desire to see you make the most out of your life. *This* is what spiritual knowledge gives you. – But those that do *not* posses this are *far less* happy and content.

September 1972

Question: People are so full of prejudices because of the Church that everything else comes to grief with it. How can one eradicate these prejudices?

AREDOS: All prejudices always stem from *ignorance*. Knowledge, ergo information, eradicates prejudices. It is therefore your assignment to make this knowledge *comprehensible* for the average human being. Once people comprehend something, they automatically get rid of *their* prejudices. We also work along these lines. It is our aim to make it comprehensible in any way we can that human beings are basically *immortal*. But people change during their lifetime, they become older and then they look different. The last change is death and it *releases* the spirit. This is so easy to comprehend.

• The Churches should actually pick up these doctrines and disseminate them, because Churches should in reality not places where lies are told, they should actually be GOD'S universities for the people.

Question: Why are priests not able to *correctly* enlighten mankind?

AREDOS: Without divine insights of a personal nature, people are spiritually blind. The blind can however not be leaders, because they only lead others into disasters and into an abyss. This is why blind leaders must first be guided from a HIGHER SIDE. Church dogmas are however so anchored that they resist this guidance. This is why they fail so miserably. Apart from that, a lot of priests are atheists devoid of real faith and they think that their office is just another job. These people talk nonsense and it is unfortunately believed sometimes. Spiritualism could also provide guidance to them, but they regard such contacts as deception and idolatry.

October 1972

Question: The biblical flood is supposed to have been a divine tribunal. Is there a possibility that a similar tribunal will be *repeated*?

AREDOS: GOD does *not* want to change mankind through coercive restrictions of its freedom or through coercive force, but *alone through its self-awareness* which must take place completely at its own discretion and through its self-experience.

• Higher self-awareness must by a joy, but it is only possible in complete freedom.

Work, climbing mountains, amongst other things are often arduous when undertaken under duress. But climbing mountains, sports and working hard can give a lot of

pleasure and lead to remarkable achievements when carried out under *voluntary* impetus.

• SEARCHING for GOD must also happen completely voluntarily and joyfully. Real truths can only be recognised under these circumstances!

This is why the SEARCH for GOD by scientists is hardly ever successful, because it *lacks* the LOVE for EVIDENCE of GOD'S EXISTENCE. Joy in the WORLD of SPIRIT can also only be gained through *positive* work. Base spirits have an insatiable desire to act negatively and they do this because it gives them pleasure.

Question: Is there a purgatory?

AREDOS: No, it is only a terrible state of self-reproaches.

November 1972

Question: The Churches feel entitled to adjudicate in regards to people' sins. They believe that they can forgive them in GOD'S name. Is this so?

ELIAS: People can only be redeemed through their *own* betterment, that is to say, or rather reach a HIGHER SPHERE. Everybody must *be aware* that every act they commit leaves spiritual tracks behind, is *cosmically recorded* as it were, and that it adheres to them like an identity card or a voucher, depending on how good or how badly they treated their fellow men – and *this certificate* determents their innumerable future existences.

(<u>Comment</u>: We continuously admire the very pertinent explanations given by our spirit GUIDES who also clearly demonstrate life in the hereafter.)

Question: CHRIST already indicated this to us, but this is ignored. One avoids this issue by simply assuming that death represents the definite end of one's existence. This excludes any further commentary. Is there anything else you can add to this?

ELIAS : Everyone makes their own luck.

• Through their actions and through their way of thinking, people either gain undreamt of HEIGHTS or fall into undreamt of DEPTHS.

The exploration of the spiritual realm (Author H. V. Speer)

We have those Sundays during the month of November that are dedicated to the death. The general question arises of what people actually imagine the condition of these dead to be, namely whom such cultic behaviourism is directed at? An officiating parson of a church within our district wrote me a letter a few years ago.

"Dear Mister Speer, I am very concerned about you. You are engaged in something that could have dire consequences for you, because you're dealing with occultism. You are probably unaware of where this could lead to."

After I had received this letter I approached him and said:

"Based on what facts do you criticise my mission?"

Pastor Wendtland had no answer. All he said was:

"One knows all too well where this leads."

I answered him by saying that he should participate in one of our séances in order to orientate himself first *before* making such grave statements. After I promised him that nobody in our circle would have to know that he was a parson, I invited him.

He was very reserved during the séance and observed everything very intently. He didn't take his eyes of the medium for a second and tracked the medium's every movement. I finally encouraged him to participate by asking questions. He hesitantly complied with my request. When he promptly received the answers to his questions he gradually loosened up. He asked questions about certain passages from the Bible that were not clear to him and received interpretations he duly accepted. At the end of the séance he thanked me heartily, because he had been given an opportunity to experience such a venture.

I ran into him a few days later. He told me that he was *deeply impressed* by it all, but that he could have nothing to do with this, because as a representative of the Church, he was strictly tied to his instructions. He did however apologise for his letter as he regretted writing it. The same parson wrote the following in the Church gazette in regards to these Sundays dedicated to the dead:

"The dead are alive! I am convinced that they endeavour to help us. We should however also take note of this and also try to help them."

This was short and sweet, but at least a thank you for the received enlightenment.

The dead *live*! – This has been known by experts for a long time. Well, one already knew this thousand of years ago, because the Old Testament states: "You should not question the dead." Do we question the dead? No, because we had some bad experiences with them. But the MESSENGERS of LIGHT we question are *not* dead people, but enlightened, trained TEACHERS, well maybe even ANGELS, something they might never admit in their modesty. Their messages talk of LOVE and understanding and they caution us *urgently* about the consequences of a wasted life.

But dead-cults are wrong!

Churchyards are not the best places to remember people one loved. The dead-cult belongs *in the heart!* One can light a candle at home and say a prayer for their advancement in the hereafter. One can display one of their pictures, but the rottenness or ash under the soil is not worthy of cultic behaviourism. Various businesses profit greatly from this, this may be true, but one can also display flowers at home. We do so at every séance we conduct. The dead are the living in the hereafter!

Question and answers

December 1972

Question: The Lord's Prayer is an invocation. Is there another prayer that's very good?

AREDOS: Yes there is a thanksgiving prayer. It goes:

"Dear FATHER in heaven, we thank you from the bottom of our hearts for all YOUR LOVE, grace and mercy. We thank YOU for our life and our existence. We thank YOU for all the goodness and beauty we experience in this life and in other lives and for YOUR wonderful creation throughout the universe. We thank YOU for our guidance, for our indoctrinations and for our protection and for YOUR all encompassing, wonderful LOVE. - Amen!"

As with all prayers, it always depends on the *feeling of heart*: Every prayer must come from the heart! Words alone are not enough.

Question: The *Catholic Church* sells amulets it ascribes certain miracle powers to. What do you make of the miracle power?

AREDOS: The Church condones the blasphemy of falsely worshipping GOD. Well it actually promotes it through dogmas instead of avowing to the scientific, divine truth.

- The Church fanatically adheres to its superstitions and defends it with unfair means instead of adapting to progress and the constant flow of new-revelations coming from the divine world of spirit.
- The Church is also unwilling to bow to the empirical psycho-science, but explains all impossibilities as divine miracles, because there is no such thing as impossible in regards to miracles as far as it's concerned.

January 1973

Question: There are sects and religious communities that deceive people. This is how people are guided away from GOD instead of being guided towards HIM. Do you agree with this statement?

ARGUN: Some people and some ideologies require a certain time period of development until they realise that they are on the wrong track. They then turn around and start their search anew. But it would be *wrong to impose* another conviction on them, they would then no longer know how to proceed and would despair completely.

• For as long as people search, they feel GOD'S SPARK within them. But woe the time when standstill strikes, because the EVIL ONE will then approach.

Question: How does the spiritual realm assess the kind of absolution practised by the Catholic Church?

ARGUN: It is difficult to explain to the Church that *only the LORD* can forgive sins. This is one of the mistakes in the Bible. This is why we are called upon and instructed *to amend*

this mistake. The Church unfortunately turns into an *adversary* instead of a helper of the GREAT PLANNER.

• Most church-goers are without faith and they therefore have no scruples.

When people think about this they begin to fight an inner battle. But when people turn away from dogmas, they get *a lot closer* to GOD.

• One cannot progress by adhering to dogmas.

Miracles often happen and people then say: If there are miracles there must also be a GOD. But they will one day reach their destination and they will then realise that all their searching was worthwhile.

March 1973

Pope Paul VI warned about the devil by emphasising that the devil exists.

How are we to understand this?

But who believes in the devil nowadays?

Is he the kind of person the Pope describes?

See under protocol "GOD and his antagonist".

April 1973

Question: The Church canonises people every now and then. Is this canonisation recognised in the spiritual realm?

ARGUN: *No.* An individual soul is not that easily acknowledged. But those that did *not* receive a terrestrial medal for their efforts receive a crown from the LORD.

August 1973

Question: Can you tell us something about what's called "original sin"?

ARGUN: Well, there is after all KARMA, but it is no original sin.

• People are born without sin, because they have lost all memory of their previous incarnation. They are therefore already pardoned.

Every one of you can strive for goodness. But one can always become recidivistic. This however has nothing to do with original sin. Sin is a very personal course of action, particularly the abuse if freedom given to everyone.

• Original sin is an invention by priests who actually filled the whole Bible with their ideas. People do not even inherit somebody else's KARMA.

Objection: But people inherit their positive as well as their negative traits.

ARGUN: People might inherit certain aptitudes and talents, but *applying* them is completely left up to the individual. But they inherit the consequences of all *carnal* sins in any case.

One could *possibly* talk about original sin here, that is to say, such an inherited sin is an inheritance that affects the body. If the body is ill and doesn't function correctly, the incarnated soul has a deficient tool at its disposal, but the soul itself is *not* inherited from the parents, even if it displays certain similarities which are based on adaptation.

• The LORD endowed you with all the senses. It is up to you to utilise them correctly.

September 1973

Question: The Churches demand obedience and they have their irremediable dogmas, but do not allow any new-revelations to stand. The faithful must now abide by these dogmas and it matters not whether they can do something with them or not. Is this correct?

ELIAS : When people *act* according to their own *positive* inspirations, a number of dogmas automatically come into play that the Church does not have to preach to them. We here in the spiritual realm do *not* have dogmas, we *only* have TRUTHS that are as closely observed as any dogma. Everything positive is guided by this MORAL LAW. All fanaticism is however *unchristian*.

October 1973

Question: We are presently dealing with the doctrine of the *Apostle Paul*. He tells us that one has to obey authority, because AUTHORITY is appointed by GOD. Is this so?

ARGUN: This is unfortunately a *false* doctrine, because authorities are employed by people and *not* by GOD who allows people their freedom in every respect.

Question: A Catholic journal states that due to this doctrine a lot of people will end up in hell. To what degree is the Catholic Church to blame because it still adheres to this doctrine?

ARGUN: GOD will *not* send a soul to hell if it has been led astray by an ecclesiastical lie.

Question: The testament by *Parson Johannes Greber* changes the text by the *Apostle Paul* to: "Obey all spirits that come from ABOVE. No superior spirit force exists that does not come from GOD." What does the spiritual realm have to say to this?

ARGUN: Terrestrial authorities exercise their powers. But their powers are *ungodly*. Besides, people sorely abuse this power.

Question: Do the Princes of the Church count as part of the authority engaged by GOD?

ARGUN: People *imagine that they are*, but they elect *themselves* in order to dominate. They adhere to Paul's words: "Those that oppose authority oppose GOD'S order." – Those that do avow to the truth are not guided by the Bible, but *by logic*. But those that do so are seen as heretics by the Church.

Objection: One can apparently not believe the Bible in every respect. But there are lots of people in spite of this who *unconditionally* do what's demanded of them.

ARGUN: Church authority used to also be the state authority. It was naturally well pleased with Paul's words. Its princes used the phrase: "We, by the grace of GOD" for quite some time. This is how one *misled* people and turned them into slaves of the powerful.

Question: Does the Bible cause more damage than good or do people find faith through the Bible?

ARGUN: This question denotes a great question mark. There are those that *lose* their religion because of the Bible and then there are those who *find their religion* because of the Bible.

• At any rate, there is a lot of truth in the Bible, but a lot of it has unfortunately been misunderstood or misinterpreted.

Anyhow, the Bible was not written in a style to fit all times, it was written for the people *at that time*. Today's mankind must have a *completely different* Bible, one *adapted* to modern times and one that is almost devoid of anything from the old Bible.

• The spiritual realm also rejects all priestly pomp and ceremony. External adornments hardly count for anything, but INTERNAL ADORNMENTS certainly do.

February 1974

Question: The Christian Churches distribute flyers with drawings on them and warn people of self-redemption. What are we to make of this?

AREDOS: There is only one opportunity for redemption, namely the redemption through *betterment*! But how does this betterment come about? Are Churches improving all people of good will?

• *Improvement can only come about through communicating the truth.*

The Churches however stop short of telling the truth or they consciously avoid it.

- People must not necessarily belong to a religious community in order to gain salvation. Salvation means: Deliverance from all vices and all the many sins.
- One cannot buy this deliverance!
- *The imposition of penances also does not facilitate one's deliverance.*
- Only the conscious change within people who make an effort can, but this requires excellent instructions.

Question: How is it possible that religious communities, particularly the Churches, *inequitably acknowledge* everything the sciences develop and technically deal with, but stop at acknowledging *one thing*, namely the slightest advancements within the psychoreligious field? What do you know about this?

ELIAS : They are unaware that the people on Earth live on a *planet of redemption* for *relapsed* souls. They managed to put boundaries around the psycho-religious field of knowledge and they don't dare to cross them. The Churches therefore avoid answering the most important question, namely: What happens to the soul after the death of the physical body?

The Churches do not take *karma* (indemnification) serious at all. They make it easy for themselves by simply saying that: Good people go to heaven for ever and ever and evil people land in hell after their physical demise, without explaining the nature of heaven or hell.

Question: What do church despots actually have to fear if the survival of the soul would be scientifically verified?

ELIAS : The Churches balk at the idea of reincarnation. They indeed heard that a constant renascence of fallen souls was supposed to take place whereby souls are born again under atrocious conditions – but they are not interested in this. They prefer to stick with "eternal heaven". The Churches' knowledge can not progress one single step. This is taboo!

• The lower a soul falls, the more remote the opportunity to gain HIGHER INSIGHTS that can promote its progress.

Question: We assume that there are some amongst the Churches' powerful who *know all too well* that life in the hereafter continues, but they do not have the courage to publicly acknowledge this and to teach this. Is this one way of looking at it?

ELIAS: Yes, they are gutless, *even though* they are informed. They *believe* that it suffices for them to know and to believe that *they* will be saved. Apart from this, they live such a comfortable life that they don't want to exert themselves.

March 1974

Question: How is it possible that major religious communities – and particularly the Churches – completely accept everything the sciences discover whilst rejecting everything of a spiritual nature?

ELIAS : This has to do with the fact that Earth is a planet of redemption for *relapsed* souls.

Objection: The Churches show an interest in political affairs and even participate in them, but when it comes to the psycho-sciences they fail utterly.

ELIAS: What we are to make of the Churches, who constantly *avoid* the most important question, namely *what happens to the soul after one's physical death*, is left to your own judgment.

August 1974

Question: Wouldn't it be better if the Churches would spread psycho-scientific doctrines instead of their sermons?

AREDOS: Psycho-scientific doctrines will represent a *major* factor within the general religious faith in the future.

Question: We cannot comprehend why the major Churches balk at all new revelations and why they attack them with lies. What has the spiritual realm to say about this?

Answer : Terrestrial mankind presently lives in an *era of revelation*. These revelations do *not just* crop up in science and technology; they can also be researched spiritually. All we have to remember are CHRIST'S words that state: "Wherever two or three are assembled in my name, I will be right amongst them," We explain to you that it isn't necessary for CHRIST to be amongst you personally. It suffices for REPRESENTATIVES from the positive spiritual realm to support you. You ask and the spiritual realm will answer you.

September 1974

Question: The Churches talk about an original sin people are born with. Is there such a collective debt, one that is inherited generation after generation?

ARGUN: Original sin is in fact a *church dogma*, because there are only inherited illnesses and characteristics.

• A sin cannot be inherited!

A sin adheres to the person that committed it. This is why human beings must always give account for committed sins *themselves*. The bill of fare is *always* presented – but the ensuing punishment differs a lot.

• The dogma of original sin was invented by priests. This dogma refers to the alleged sins committed by Adam and Eve. But the biblical parable of the fall from grace is absolutely incorrect. Such a fall from grace has never taken place.

These dogmas already caused a lot of calamities. The dogma of original sin does *not* stem from the spiritual realm. Everybody should however avoid sinning, because sinning has always dire consequences.

• The LORD didn't send the first human beings to Earth, they were sent to other star systems at first.

The Earth is however a *planet of redemption* – and this is why people come to this Earth, so that they can learn how *to improve* and how *to understand* GOD. GOD however did *not* condemn these people, HE gave them an opportunity to reflect and to improve.

• There is no original sin, it is absolute church nonsense.

Most of GOD'S LAWS have nothing to do with the Bible. People however like to be legislators and compete with GOD. Priests therefore believe that they have to give GOD a *helping hand*. But revengeful thoughts anchored within human beings didn't allow them to think of forgiveness; they turned it into an original sin instead. The

priests that came up with this had no idea what GOD'S LOVE is all about.

- Mankind's history doesn't begin with Adam and Eve's ejection from paradise.
- *Mankind's history should not be part of religion, but part of natural science.*

Terrestrial man was once a *coarse physical* being. The races refined over many millennia. There was of course an *original man* to begin with from which the various races developed from. Some of the races became physically *more refined* quite some time later.

Objection: There are some scientists even now that believe that they are able to verify that man descended from apes.

AREDOS: The theory that man descended from apes is nonsense! – The conclusions one came to are a pure scorn on humanity. Man, as an organic being, naturally required a prior stage of development, one that includes almost all of fauna. Almost all mammals have characteristics one could compare with those of man. Adaptation to the environment is not evidence of ancestry. One should really cease with this nonsense; it discriminates against the whole human gender.

October 1974

Question: Do the "dead" who went before us still have an influence on events here on Earth?

AREDOS: Do you believe that those that have left their physical bodies behind no longer have an influence? Your life does *not just* exist in your world. The SPIRITUAL WORLD is closely related to it.

• The telepathic influence of those so-called "dead" is much greater than you can ever imagine!

Souls filled with hatred represent a *great* danger to you – because they are willing to sacrifice themselves on behalf of GOD'S antagonist, because they cannot get rid of their contempt and hatred.

• There are whole GROUPS of souls who committed evil acts on Earth – and who continue to do so on an ever grander scale from the hereafter.

You often believe in a terrestrial genius or the art of a great politician. The reality however is that you are only dealing with instruments that are *utilised* to promote evil on this planet.

Question: Is evil always in the foreground or are there also positive ORGANIZATIONS in the hereafter who exercise a telepathic influence on us?

AREDOS: Yes, there are also positive ones. But the means used are very varied. A positive spirit *cannot prevail* as well as an evil spirit without scruples. Particularly dangerous are *dumb* spirits that obstinately balk at all indoctrination. They are unaware that they continuously fall until they actually land in the SLUM AREAS of the hereafter.

Question: Is there the kind of hell the Bible describes?

AREDOS: There is a hell and it is immeasurable large. But it is *not* the kind of hell the Bible describes! This hell is also not akin to the one *Dante* described.

• Hell is a SPHERE that is populated by souls of equal character. Nobody there can be killed, but all of them can be tormented and almost all make free use of this - in order to vent their hatred.

You cannot imagine what this means!

In addition to this are self-accusations and desperation and it makes these souls *more* evil and *more* pathological.

The mental anguish there is unimaginable!

It is far worse than here on Earth!

All the many *addicts*, for instance those that succumbed to drug abuse, live in this hell. It is incredibly difficult to help these souls. They don't want to be helped, because they have too great an ego. The conversations they engage in are beyond description and their cruelty knows no boundary.

- You can believe me when I say that if your leaders and the rich and the powerful were aware of this, your world would be a different place.
- These souls, residing in what you call hell, are not inclined to be of the slightest use to your world. On the contrary! They take great pleasure in triggering catastrophes. We are dealing with TEAM WORK based on hatred most of the time.

Question: Is this an eternal condition?

AREDOS: This is up to individual souls. There are naturally some that almost suffer for ever, until they succeed through one *trick* or another to achieve a reincarnation.

• An unintentional renascence of a "condemned" being then takes place and the world is surprised that something like this exists.

Question: As these evil spirits are so obstinate, there must be some advantage for them?

AREDOS: It is *malicious glee* they regale – a pleasure in evil.

November 1974

Those that sin... (Message from the SPHERES of LIGHT)

Whosoever committed a sin is the servant of sin! – Well, the Catholic Church asserts the right to forgive sins in GOD'S NAME.

• *Nobody can ever forgive sins in GOD'S NAME!*

CHRIST said in one of his admonitions:

"There you will stay. I tell you, until you pay the last Penny of your fine." (Matthew 5:26 and Luke 12:59)

I will explain this to you, because millions are taken in by this malicious nonsense. How many souls are bitterly disappointed when confronted with the fact that sins *regarded forgiven* by GOD, still cling to them? You ask yourselves whether a sin is really punishable if one *did not recognise* at all as such, because one was ignorant. – Ignorance apparently does not exclude punishment.

It's a case of *not being caught* or a *lack* of witnesses here on Earth. It is however different in the spiritual realm: In the first place there are WITNESSES present who *know you intimately*, well even received your thoughts and in the second place you were caught by death and you can neither flee nor hide. Your sins *are there for all to see!* They cannot disappear, because they are part of a person's memory reservoir.

• Every thought, every word, every deed, ever alphabetical character and all terrestrial events are carefully recorded within the cosmos.

You are able to locate this memory cache at a moment's notice and nothing is forgotten or obliterated. Souls are *rated* in the hereafter according to *this* measure of good or bad memories and then admitted to their respective sphere.

• Ignorance is not a mitigating factor! Ignorance is an unmistakable sign of backwardness and underdevelopment in regards to spiritual things.

Only the *perfected*, the *deserving* and the *developed* go to the HIGHER SPHERES, ergo so-called heaven. Spiritually underdeveloped souls *cannot* enter these spheres. Those endowed with logic will realise that GOD does not allow highly developed *and* underdeveloped souls to inhabit his MANSIONS together. Spiritual underdevelopment – let's call it backwardness - is therefore a *sin of omittance*, one that retrogradely affects all those that did *not* endeavour to find the truth. CHRIST therefore said:

"Everyone who sins is a slave of sin." (John 8:34)

Sins are capable of hindering people's progress, because *only knowledge and the truth can make you free*. (John 8:32) Those that believe that it will be easy to concern oneself with the truth in the *hereafter* are *also mistaken*, because they will be *hindered* by evil FORCES, as they find themselves in REGIONS (lower spheres) where evil POWERS are lurking intent on preventing all from gaining insights that might lead to an improvement. A *standstill of KNOWLEDGE* takes place, one that is beyond terrestrial comprehension. This is punishment enough for all that acted negligent and carelessly, ego those that sinned. GOD'S antagonist is *no* fairytale figure! He *absolutely exists* and every soul in his grasp encounters such terrible situations, the like of which cannot be found on Earth. All the many *hoaxing*, *lying* and *possession spirits* and particularly the many *agony spirits* are evidence of the bondage sinners find themselves in. They must perform terrible services. I advice you urgently:

• Do not rely on your ignorance in regards to your survival after death, but search for the truth wherever you can!

Questions and answers

Question: Are the Churches' canticles positive?

AREDOS: GOD does *not* demand chanting and or testimonies of thanks. All HE demands is that people *respect* and *promote* creation and *not* attack and *maliciously destroy* it as is the case.

December 1974

Question: The Catholic Church continues to speak on GOD'S behalf. Is this according to HIS will?

AREDOS: Nobody on Earth has the right to assert rights *only GOD alone has*. The Churches should really be aware of this.

Question: Christmas is being celebrated as a remembrance festival for CHRIST'S birth for many years. Powerful attempts are made these days to *abolish* Christmas altogether. What does the spiritual realm have to say about this?

AREDOS: It matters not whether it is a festival to remember CHRIST'S birth or not, we are dealing with a *Festival of LOVE* here. Those that want to abolish this festival know nothing about LOVE. These are *negative* people and they are in the opposite CAMP. They fight at the side of the ANTAGONIST'S VASSALS against those that fear God, because they are not interested in LOVE whatsoever. This is why they are being attacked wherever possible.

• Religious elucidations are lacking!

We are appalled about the fact that the major Churches also stand at the side of the ANTAGONIST. They even sympathise with murderers and deal with the filth of politics. But they do unfortunately *not* care about the truth and fail to indoctrinate the public. Christmas is naturally a church festival. But the Churches are not capable of *plausibly* defending their festivals. *We also* celebrate Christmas in spite of this and we even give gifts of LOVE.

February 1975

Question: The Protestant Church showed an interest in society's enemies. A lot of people took umbrage to this and therefore left the Church. What is correct?

AREDOS: One has to distinguish between *true* faith and a *religious community*. Those that resign from the Church, resign from an organization they're not happy with.

• But people should not resign from their faith in God, because this could have dire consequences.

Question: Can the Church guarantee a true faith in God?

- AREDOS: The Churches, as well as many other religions, are still *far* from teaching a *true* faith in God. They unfortunately do not endeavour to *better* orientate themselves. Due to their *fanaticism* they adhere to their mistakes.
 - A healthy conscience represents a better guarantee. An atheist for instance can be a better person than a Christian.
 - The HIGHER SPHERES of the hereafter are not for sale.

I concede that Churches do a lot for the poor. But if one supports an organization because one is obliged to do so, it is most of the time *not* voluntarily, but *imposed* coercion. Just what good organization is supported doesn't really matter if it happens on a voluntary basis and out of LOVE, but to only support the Protestant Church and not the others for instance, would be a sin.

Spiritualism is also an international church community with many prayer rooms throughout the world and with members that count in the millions. This community is also supported on a *voluntary basis*.

• But if people are attached to a religious community that irks them, it would be far better for them to turn away from it, because their faith would be that of a hypocrite and that would really be a sin.

Question: What does this actually mean?

AREDOS: When people really love their religious organization and hold the opinion that this organization conducts itself *honestly* and *decently*, they should support it. But when in doubt, they should look for the DIVINE TRUTH through other means, so that their conscience isn't burdened.

Question: Will it happen one day that the Churches will recognise the objective truth?

AREDOS: This is *not possible* within the Christian communities. The tracks have been set centuries ago. Only another doctrine, one that is so powerful that it will dominate, can effect this.

Question: Can you tell us why the Christian Churches cannot make progress with their religious doctrines?

AREDOS: These Church communities have a completely false concept in mind in regards to GOD and CHRIST. The way they *imagine* GOD to be is an absolute blasphemy and they continue to stick with it. They also have a *false vision* of CHRIST and they managed to promote it to an unimaginable degree.

Question: Can you explain this to us somewhat better? One will otherwise say one day: "You can see the heresy within spiritualism here."

AREDOS: Almost everybody these days has an inkling of the unimaginable vastness and miscellaneousness of divine creation. But one *discriminates against* the CREATOR in incredible ways: The God of the Church is virtually an absolutely simple minded old

man with all the shortcomings and vices of mankind. He thinks like a man and he is ridiculously compassionate towards the greatest of sinners. He condones injustice, murder and in his divine sentimentalism, forgives everything. He lives in a palace somewhere in space and all that come to him do not actually know what to do in the party mood they're in. It is difficult to find words for this, because it is all so *incredibly naïve*. But the Churches say: "When it comes to GOD, everything is possible", even when it deals with the greatest of nonsense, like for instance: GOD regards the devil in his employment, there to teach mankind the difference between good and evil.

Question: But how should the various religions orientate themselves as they are so different?

AREDOS: Human logic must get rid of all the nonsense and create room for the truth. Our collaborative work set so many religious accents during the last 20 years that there are sufficient truths available for dissemination. The *Menetekel* and the many ASHTAR SHERAN brochures, distributed to many, many prominent recipients, provided religious communities with materials they could never receive from any other source. The HIGHER SPHERES poured the truth over all of mankind. Mankind however requires some time to benefit from all of this.

March 1975

Question: The Christian Churches balk at the idea of *self-redemption*. We take self-redemption to mean the striving for a righteous and responsible life here on Earth. What can you tell us about this?

Answer : There is no other way more suited to gain a life in a HIGHER SPHERE after one's disembodiment. CHRIST was also adamant that there is no other way. Any other way to redemption is a *dogmatic promise* that can never be fulfilled, because there is only *one* truth.

• Reincarnation according to divine LAW and redemption within the physical world is the way within creation indicated by CHRIST.

The *free will* given to human beings by the CREATOR solely decides whether they want to go along this path or not.

- When people chose this correct way, their knowledge about the absolute truth continues to expand and their disposition deepens.
- Their spirit grows strong in its will towards the good and the beautiful.
- They realise and comprehend the true meaning of life within the INFORMER'S CREATION and prove themselves worthy of their divine birthright.

This is the major contribution of Christian Spiritualism who is a part of the psychoscientific doctrine. All positive religions received the basis of their religion from the regions of a spiritual and greater WORLD.

Question: Is there actually a *really positive* religion?

Answer : There are a number of religions with positive aspects. *Spiritualism* is however *not* a religion, but a SOURCE of KNOWLEDGE.

May 1975

Question: Atheism represents an enormous opposition to faith in God. Why doesn't this mobilise the Churches into making a *greater* effort on behalf of the truth?

ELIAS : The Catholic Church is more concerned about maintaining the pomp and ceremony it likes to surround itself with. This *religious pomp and ceremony* is *far more* important to the Church. One adheres to old and questionable traditions that were mainly interpreted and described as miracles.

What is even worse is the circumstance that theologians are *absolutely not prepared* to be instructed by non-theologians or laymen. It is the same situation with the sciences. A doctor is not prepared to be instructed by a self-taught person, even when dealing with the greatest truth. Conceit does not allow this! Church fathers also have their conceit; they go even further and reject all scientists that dare to criticise theology. Such absurd fanaticism does not help mankind to progress.

• It doesn't depend on pomp and ceremony, but on dignity, which must be preserved.

Christ walked in a simple, homespun garb. The brilliance that emanated from HIM cannot be compared *in any way* with the pomp and ceremony of the Pope who dresses up in magnificent robes. Just imagined how much dignity emanated from CHRIST! People unfortunately fall for this pomp and ceremony, because they know nothing about a *negative* transfiguration. The armed services provide a good example of this negative transfiguration; they decorate themselves with medals – in spite of being engaged in the worst possible profession that exists.

Question: The Churches are against anyone making contact with the hereafter. One mentions "idolatry" and "demons". What can you tell us about this?

AREDOS: Entertaining contact with the world of spirit is *not* a sin if it has to do with *indoctrination*. But it is entirely different if other intentions are hidden behind it. It completely depends on how one deals with the world of spirit:

- The world of spirit is not there to receive advice in regards to business dealings or for any other chances of gaining a profit.
- The world of spirit is also not an information office for crimes or for treason or revenge.

It is evidence that Church representatives *completely mishandled* these contacts with the hereafter, when they talk about "demons". The questioners were *negative*, because they asked *self-obsessed* and *inadmissible* questions.

• When the intensions are negative, the contact is also negative and one should not be surprised when evil or damned spirit behave mischievously.

• *It is blasphemy if no respect for the DIVINE is present.*

Question: A Priest talked about "idolatry" on television. What do you say to his opinion?

AREDOS: *Spiritualism*, ergo contact with the hereafter, is engaged in all over the world and this for thousands of years. It is a *nationally recognised* religion in England. *Spiritualism* is also widespread and taken so seriously in Japan that one built a temple in *Tabuse* that cost millions. All of this would then have to be idolatry. This priest was a *liar* and he should be ashamed of himself.

June 1975

Question: Was the lady that appeared to *Bernadette at Lourdes* MOTHER MARY, as the Church asserts?

AREDOS: The Church was very interested in promoting this as MOTHER MARY if at all possible. Suggestive questions managed to *bend the truth* in that direction. The reality is that we're dealing with a spirit being from the divine SPHERES. It was *not* a materialisation, but a *spiritual show*, similar to a hallucination.

Question: Is the lance the Catholic Church displays, the lance that played a role during CHRIST'S crucifixion?

AREDOS: *No, it has nothing to do with it.* What meaning could a lance possibly have? What benefit would the Church or the faithful have from this, if it were the case? It is church advertising and this with terrible means. It would be far *better* if people were told the truth about their survival after their demise.

Question: The Churches complain that a lot of people exit the Church. One assumes that this is due to people having to pay a church tax. Is this so?

AREDOS: It *isn't just* about the church tax, but about *the way* those in responsible positions within the Church *behave*. The Churches want to be modern and adapt to contemporary times. One does however not contemplate that "modern" usually means *negative*. Contemporary times are however not positive and this means that the Churches want to participate in something that is *not* according to CHRIST'S spirit. Those that are perturbed by things within their Church can turn away from it, because the Church does not need hypocrites. But those that are not interested in the Church should contemplate whether they should also turn away from their faith.

• In any case, people should never separate themselves from GOD, because this bodes misfortune, even if this misfortune strikes at a later date.

July 1975

Question: We dealt with all religions of this world and gained relatively good insights. We unfortunately found out that *all* religions are *unreliable*. All religions *emphasise cultic behaviourism*. GOD is continuously venerated, but all of this is pure gobbledygook. The core doctrine is missing in all of them. Can you tell us something about the core

doctrine?

AREDOS: The core doctrine implies *how people have to behave*. Every human being must strive to *get on with* their fellow men, even if they are not to their liking. Cooperativeness is a fundamental prerequisite.

• It is impossible to gain a higher LEVEL without service to one's fellow men or without respect for one's nearest. "Wings" are not simply given away, they must be earned through hard work — and the hardest work of all is the work on oneself. This is the actual core doctrine and this is missing in almost all doctrines.

A heaven the way the Churches describe it doesn't exist – and there is also no hell the way it is depicted. But there are actually otherworldly REGIONS that are better or worse. People classify themselves within the LEVEL they're entitled to according to an indescribable LAW of NATURE. One cannot change this. A change can only be effected through a life here on Earth.

- Live decently! Strive for order and respect life and your fellow men's existence.
- Above everything else, do not damage your own health! What happens when you are so careless about your own self? What kind of considerateness can other people expect from you?

This is the core religion. What we do is a continuation of the CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE CHRIST could no longer disseminate. We therefore look upon ourselves as the true successors of the MESSIAH.

Question: When it comes to a core religion, does spiritualism not have precedence?

AREDOS: We do not see spiritualism as a religion in your sense of the word. *All* service provided to another human being is in fact a religious act. We serve mankind and this is why *our* religion is *very significant*. All existing religions have their actual meaning through those doctrines that *inspire* service to one's fellow men. We are not dealing with a religion at all where this is not the case.

• People cannot serve GOD by praising and feting HIM. People can only demonstrate true service within human society. CHRIST also pointed to this kind of service.

It does *not* suffice to go to Church and to participate in its services. No praying and singing of psalms will help either, in other words, people can show their respect for GOD and HIS creation only through a *god-pleasing life*. Indoctrinating one's fellow men is also part of this.

• You will meet many along the way who find that your indoctrinations are precious drops of water, because their souls are already dying of thirst. These are the ones that count. Once you have helped them you will have justified your terrestrial existence.

I would like you to adopt the knowledge of what takes place beyond the existence of your physical life.

October 1975

Question: A lot of theologians and priests see GOD and JESUS CHRIST as *individual people*, the way it is expressed in an offprint of the last sentence of the biblical verses. How do theologians and priests actually imagine GOD to look like? A pastor wrote in a newspaper about it:

"GOD is a crucified person."

This parson must have had his doubts about what he just said, because he added:

"An impertinence in man's mind, monstrous to his natural sensibility."

Answer : The influence through ignorant teachers is so powerful that humility is replaced by arrogance. People *laugh* about the intangible that actually demands reverence and humility.

• Arrogance is a condition that makes one lose self-control.

But when arrogance is *joined* by fanaticism, people imagine things that do not exist at all. They do not find the truth and *invent* a philosophy based on untruths, which in its formulation represents a phantasmagoria of the devil. Incapable thinkers, endowed with more craving for recognition than feelings and common sense, not to mention logic, manipulated the evidence of a sublime and invisible world by *forcefully twisting* all cognisance of truth. To everyone's misfortune, these incapable snobs, whose spirit only consisted of thesis and theories, abused the venerate symbols and titles of academic science by pressing the seal of scientific truth on their failures and mistakes. These people had the impertinence of providing a *constant* assessment on the greatest and most august mystery of CREATION and its CREATOR, based on school taught lore that *constantly changes* – akin to a death sentence for GOD. Has such blasphemy and arrogance ever existed before?

October 1975

Reincarnation

The MESSENGER of LIGHT ELIAS said:

Reincarnation represents a *karmically restricted refining process*, one that however also has its merciful side. This mercy consists in the *loss of memory* – the wiping of reminiscences from past lives, ergo the mistakes people made during their past terrestrial existences. You also have persuading literature that deals with recurrence. There is evidence amongst this that *cannot* be distorted or denied.

There are also people that show a particular *interest in certain periods in time* as well as a *penchant for certain costumes* or for *certain landscapes*. All of this points to fragments of remembrances of a past life. Some *special aptitudes* are also tied in with this and they are being further cultivated in one's present life.

- *Mankind's future development would be impossible without reincarnation.*
- The enormous construction of the universe, with its many planets and potential biospheres, would represent a senseless wastefulness, if reincarnation was not a factor for people.

Logic alone poses the question:

• What sense would the enormous effort put into creating the universe make, if there was nobody there to consciously acknowledge it?

Only human beings are in a position to contemplate this and to admire creation. All of creation would be meaningless without this admiration. But creation does *not just* exist on *this* planet!

The SANTINER and world teacher ASHTAR SHERAN said:

Man's kinship does *not just* stretch as far as one's clan, it stretches throughout the universe. The kinship of all human beings is verified through their *equal* potential and intelligence capabilities.

The movement of physical matter makes life visible. You however do not see the *actual* LIFE, never COSMIC ENERGY, but only the movement of the body, respectively its parts and limbs. Physical movement ceases after death, but the COSMIC ENERGY remains – the difference being that it can *no longer* animate a physical organism. A dead, no longer viable body is no longer animated. Only the atoms that form the body are still moving and changing.

The mistake you make consists in that you *assume* that the soul cannot continue to exist independently after the demise of the physical body. *Every* soul exists *prior* to its incarnation as well as *after* its disembodiment, because its COSMIC ENERGY does *not change*. It possesses an IMMORTAL LIFE, one that is governed by the CREATOR'S LAWS.

We SANTINER differentiate between *spiritual* MAN and *physical* man according to very accurate definitions:

- Spiritual MAN is the creation you call "soul", but it is something you cannot accurately define.
- Physical man is its organic counterpart, respectively a parallel there to be used by the spirit.

The body doesn't contain life, the *spirit* does. But once the spirit separates from the body, the body loses its ability to function.

It is impossible for people to develop without a continuing renascence, because they would require too much time to find their way in the world. People require phases to gain their perfection and every reincarnation is one *phase* of development *completed within itself*.

Questions and answers

December 1975

Question: Was there a fall from grace that forced mankind to live on this Earth?

ARGUN: One does not have to believe all the things that were reported thousands of years ago.

- There was no Adam and also no Eve, as described in the Bible.
- There also was no apple and no paradise.

Is this answer clear enough for you? During the formation of the physical world mankind turned *partially apostate*. But every stage of development was corrected on a continuous basis, until man stood on two feet on solid ground and looked *the way you look* today.

Question : Do you hold the opinion that *spiritualism* will one day be elevated to *the* world religion?

Answer : Almost all existing creeds disintegrate more and more. The time when one demands *more* than blind faith or blind obedience from religion is approaching. This doesn't mean that existing Churches will be dissolved. In the contrary – they will be refreshed through the research of spiritual facts. The Churches of the future will be able to serve the truth *better* than has been the case up to now. Innovations are always difficult to put into practice when enormous *prejudices*, *dogmas* and *traditions* work against them.

• Modern research into the hereafter has a very eminent future.

(<u>Comment</u>: Japanese spiritualism, enjoying far more attention in Japan then is the case here, avoids using the terminology "spirit". In order to make it as clear as possible that the departed are still in possession of a viable consciousness, one replaced the proscribed concepts of "ghosts" or "spirits", with the concept of THOUGHT BEARERS. The Psychic Peace Circle Berlin supports their views.)

The end

Index

Preface	
Questions and answers	2
The exploration of the spiritual realm (By Herbert Viktor Speer)	5
Questions and answers	6
The exploration of the spiritual realm (Author H. V. Speer)	12
Question and answers	14
Questions and answers	23
Reincarnation	29
Questions and answers	30